Amdt. Dated 20 March 2009

Reply to Office Action mailed 19 December 2008

Customer No. 27752

## AMENDMENTS TO THE CLAIMS

This listing of claims replaces all prior versions, and listings, of claims in the application.

- 1. (Previously Presented) A method of determining the efficacy of a treatment of inflammatory diseases of the bowel in mammals *in vivo* comprising the steps of:
  - a) measuring the level of at least one anti-inflammatory cytokine selected from the group consisting of interleukin-10, transforming growth factor-β, interleukin-4, interleukin-5, interleukin-13, and combinations thereof and at least one pro-inflammatory cytokine selected from the group consisting of interleukin-12, tumour necrosis factor-α, interferon-γ, interleukin-2, and combinations thereof in a supernatant from cells cultured from a biological sample from a mammalian subject wherein said biological sample is selected from the group consisting of a biopsy sample from the bowel, peripheral blood mononuclear cells without in vitro stimulation, peripheral blood mononuclear cells with in vitro stimulation, gut lymphoid tissues without in vitro stimulation, gut lymphoid tissues with in vitro stimulation, and combinations thereof;
  - b) determining the ratio of the level of the at least one anti-inflammatory cytokine to the level of the at least one pro-inflammatory cytokine;
  - c) administering said treatment;
  - d) measuring the level of the at least one anti-inflammatory cytokine and the at least one pro-inflammatory cytokine in a supernatant from cells cultured from a biological sample from said mammalian subject at a time following administration of said treatment;
  - e) determining the ratio of the level of the at least one anti-inflammatory cytokine to the level of the at least one pro-inflammatory cytokine following administration of said treatment;

wherein an increase in the ratio of the levels of anti-inflammatory cytokine to proinflammatory cytokine following the administration of said treatment is indicative of the efficacy of said treatment for inflammatory diseases of the bowel, and no change or a decrease in the ratio of the levels of anti-inflammatory to pro-inflammatory cytokine

Amdt. Dated 20 March 2009

Reply to Office Action mailed 19 December 2008

Customer No. 27752

following the administration of said treatment is indicative of lack of efficacy of said treatment for inflammatory diseases of the bowel.

2. (Canceled)

3. (Previously Presented) The method according to Claim 1 wherein the anti-inflammatory cytokine is selected from the group consisting of interleukin-10, transforming growth factor-8, and combinations thereof.

4. (Canceled)

 (Currently Amended) The method according to claim 4 1 wherein the proinflammatory cytokine comprises interleukin-12, tumour necrosis factor-α, interferon-γ, or combinations thereof.

6. (Previously Presented) The method according to Claim 1, wherein said ratio of the level of anti-inflammatory cytokine to pro-inflammatory cytokine is the ratio of the level of interleukin-10 to the level of interleukin-12.

7. (Previously Presented) The method according to claim 1, wherein said ratio of the level of anti-inflammatory cytokine to pro-inflammatory cytokine is the ratio of the level of transforming growth factor-B to the level of interleukin-12.

8. (Previously Presented) The method according to claim 1, wherein said ratio of the level of anti-inflammatory cytokine to pro-inflammatory cytokine is the ratio of the level of interleukin-10 to the level of interferon-γ.

9. (Canceled)

10. (Canceled)

Amdt. Dated 20 March 2009

Reply to Office Action mailed 19 December 2008

Customer No. 27752

11. (Previously Presented) The method according to claim 1 wherein said biological sample comprises peripheral blood mononuclear cells with *in vitro* stimulation, peripheral

blood mononuclear cells without in vitro stimulation, or combinations thereof.

12. (Previously Presented) The method according to claim 1 wherein said in vitro

stimulation comprises stimulation with a mitogen, a probiotic, an anti-CD3 molecule, or

combinations thereof.

13. (Withdrawn/Previously Presented) The method according to claim 12, wherein said

in vitro stimulation comprises stimulation with a mitogen.

14. (Withdrawn/Previously Presented) The method according to claim 13 wherein said

mitogen comprises a lipopolysaccharide, lectin, superantigen, or combinations thereof.

15. (Withdrawn/Previously Presented) The method according to claim 14, wherein said

lectin comprises concanavalin A, phytohemagglutinin, pokeweed mitogen, or

combinations thereof.

16. (Previously Presented) The method according to claim 1 further comprising a means

for measuring the levels of said at least one anti-inflammatory cytokine in said biological

sample, wherein said means measures mRNA or protein expression and comprises

ELISAs, radioimmunoassays, multiplexed ELISAs on microarray platforms, multiplexed

ELISAs using coded microspheres coupled with a flow cytometer detection systems,

 $bioassays, We stern\ blots, chromatograph-based\ separation\ systems, RT-PCR, competitive$ 

reverse transcription PCR, Northern blots, gene arrays, direct measurement of m-RNA, or combinations thereof.

combinations thereor.

17. (Previously Presented) The method according to claim 16 wherein the means for

measuring the levels of anti-inflammatory cytokines in said biological sample comprises ELISAs, RIAs, multiplexed ELISAs using coded microspheres coupled with a flow

cytometer detection systems, or combinations thereof.

Page 4 of 25

Amdt. Dated 20 March 2009

Reply to Office Action mailed 19 December 2008

Customer No. 27752

18. (Previously Presented) The method according to claim 17 wherein the means for measuring the levels of said at least one anti-inflammatory cytokine in said biological sample comprises multiplexed ELISAs using coded microspheres coupled with a flow

cytometer detection system.

19. (Previously Presented) The method according to claim 1 further comprising a means

for measuring the levels of said at least one pro-inflammatory cytokine in said biological

sample, wherein said means measures mRNA or protein expression and comprises

 $ELISAs, \ radio immuno assays, \ multiple xed \ ELISAs \ on \ microarray \ platforms, \ multiple xed$ 

ELISAs using coded microspheres coupled with a flow cytometer detection systems,

 $bioassays, We stern \ blots, chromatograph-based \ separation \ systems, RT-PCR, competitive$ 

reverse transcription PCR, Northern blots, gene arrays, direct measurement of m-RNA, or

combinations thereof.

20. (Previously Presented) The method according to claim 19 wherein the means for

measuring the levels of said at least one pro-inflammatory cytokine in said biological sample comprises ELISAs, RIAs, multiplexed ELISAs using coded microspheres coupled

with a flow cytometer detection systems, or combinations thereof,

21. (Previously Presented) The method according to claim 20, wherein the means for

measuring the levels of said at least one pro-inflammatory cytokine in said biological sample comprises multiplexed ELISAs using coded microspheres coupled with a flow

cytometer detection system.

22. (Currently Amended) A kit comprising a first measuring element or system for

measuring the level of at least one anti-inflammatory cytokine selected from the group consisting of interleukin-10, transforming growth factor-β, interleukin-4, interleukin-5,

interleukin-13, and combinations thereof in a supernatant from cells cultured from a

biological sample from a mammalian subject before treatment and at at least one time

point after or during treatment, a second measuring element or system for measuring the

level of at least one pro-inflammatory cytokine selected from the group consisting of

interleukin-12, tumour necrosis factor-α, interferon-γ, interleukin-2, and combinations

Page 5 of 25

Amdt. Dated 20 March 2009

Reply to Office Action mailed 19 December 2008

Customer No. 27752

thereof in a supernatant from cells cultured from a biological sample from said mammalian subject before treatment, and at at least one time point after or during treatment, wherein the change in ratio of the level of anti-inflammatory to pro-inflammatory cytokine after administration of the treatment can be determined and usage instructions provided instructing the user to determine the ratio of the level of an anti-inflammatory cytokine to the level of a pro-inflammatory cytokine both before and after treatment, wherein an increase in said ratio of the level of said anti-inflammatory cytokine to the level of said pro-inflammatory cytokine is indicative of an inhibitor of inflammatory diseases of the bowel.

- 23. (Original) The kit according to claim 22, wherein said kit further comprises means for obtaining said biological samples before and after treatment.
- 24. (Withdrawn) An *in vitro* method of screening compositions for efficacy in the treatment of inflammatory diseases of the bowel comprising the steps of:
  - a) providing a biological sample comprising at least one gut-derived cell type;
  - treating said biological sample with the composition in vitro;
  - measuring the level of at least one anti-inflammatory cytokine and at least one pro-inflammatory cytokine in the biological sample at a time following treatment with the composition;
  - d) determining the ratio of the at least one anti-inflammatory cytokine to the at least one pro-inflammatory cytokine in the biological sample at a time following treatment with the composition;

characterised in that a ratio as determined in step (d) from the treated biological sample greater than the same ratio determined in an untreated control biological sample tested concurrently is indicative of the composition being an inhibitor of inflammatory diseases of the bowel, and a ratio as determined in (d) is the same as or less than the untreated control biological sample ratio is indicative of the composition not being an inhibitor of inflammatory diseases of the bowel.

Amdt, Dated 20 March 2009

Reply to Office Action mailed 19 December 2008

Customer No. 27752

25. (Withdrawn) The method of claim 24 wherein the biological sample comprises gut-

associated lymphoid tissue.

26. (Withdrawn) The method of claim 25 wherein the gut-associated lymphoid tissue

comprises mesenteric lymph node cells.

27. (Withdrawn) The method according to claims 24 comprising the additional step of

stimulating the biological sample in vitro prior to step (c).

28. (Withdrawn/Previously Presented) The method according to claim 24 wherein the

anti-inflammatory cytokine is selected from the group consisting of interleukin-10, transforming growth factor-B, interleukin-4, interleukin-5, interleukin-13, and

combinations thereof.

29. (Withdrawn/Previously Presented) The method according to claim 28 wherein the

anti-inflammatory cytokine is selected from the group consisting of interleukin-10,

transforming growth factor-β, and combinations thereof.

30. (Withdrawn/Previously Presented) The method according to claim 24 wherein the pro-inflammatory cytokine comprises interleukin-12, tumour necrosis factor-α,

interferon-y, interleukin-2, or combinations thereof.

31. (Withdrawn/Previously Presented) The method according to claim 30 wherein the

pro-inflammatory cytokine comprises interleukin-12, tumour necrosis factor- $\alpha$ ,

interferon-γ, or combinations thereof.

32. (Withdrawn) The method according to claim 24, wherein said ratio of anti-

inflammatory cytokine to pro-inflammatory cytokine is the ratio interleukin-

10/interleukin-12.

Page 7 of 25

Amdt. Dated 20 March 2009

Reply to Office Action mailed 19 December 2008

Customer No. 27752

33. (Withdrawn) The method according to claim 24, wherein said ratio of antiinflammatory cytokine to pro-inflammatory cytokine is the ratio transforming growth

factor-β/interleukin-12.

34. (Withdrawn) The method according to claim 24, wherein said ratio of anti-

inflammatory cytokine to pro-inflammatory cytokine is the ratio interleukin-

10/interferon-y.

35. (Withdrawn/Previously Presented) The method according to claim 27 wherein said in

vitro stimulation comprises a mitogen, probiotic, anti-CD3 molecule, or combinations

thereof.

36. (Withdrawn) The method according to claim 35, wherein said in vitro stimulation

comprises a mitogen.

37. (Withdrawn/Previously Presented) The method according to claim 36 wherein said

mitogen comprises a lipopolysaccharide, lectin, superantigen, or combination thereof.

38. (Withdrawn/Previously Presented) The method according to claim 37, wherein said

lectin comprises concanavalin A, phytohemagglutinin, pokeweed mitogen, or

combinations thereof.

39. (Withdrawn/Previously Presented) The method according to claim 24 wherein the

means for measuring the levels of said at least one anti-inflammatory cytokine in said

biological sample comprises ELISAs, radioimmunoassays, multiplexed ELISAs on

microarray platforms, multiplexed ELISAs using coded microspheres coupled with a flow cytometer detection systems, bioassays, Western blots, chromatograph-based separation

systems, RT-PCR, competitive reverse transcription PCR, Northern blots, gene arrays,

direct measurement of m-RNA, or combinations thereof.

40. (Withdrawn/Previously Presented) The method according to claim 39 wherein the

means for measuring the levels of anti-inflammatory cytokines in said biological sample

Page 8 of 25

Amdt, Dated 20 March 2009

Reply to Office Action mailed 19 December 2008

Customer No. 27752

comprises ELISAs, RIAs, multiplexed ELISAs using coded microspheres coupled with a

flow cytometer detection systems, or combinations thereof.

41. (Withdrawn/Previously Presented) The method according to claim 40 wherein the

means for measuring the levels of said at least one anti-inflammatory cytokine in said

biological sample comprises multiplexed ELISAs using coded microspheres coupled with

a flow cytometer detection systems.

42. (Withdrawn/Previously Presented) The method according to claim 41 wherein the

means for measuring the levels of said at least one pro-inflammatory cytokine in said biological sample comprises ELISAs, radioimmunoassays, multiplexed ELISAs on

microarray platforms, multiplexed ELISAs using coded microspheres coupled with a flow

cytometer detection systems, bioassays, Western blots, chromatograph-based separation

systems, RT-PCR, competitive reverse transcription PCR, Northern blots, gene arrays,

direct measurement of m-RNA, or combinations thereof.

43. (Withdrawn/Previously Presented) The method according to claim 42 wherein the

means for measuring the levels of said at least one pro-inflammatory cytokine in said biological sample comprises ELISAs, RIAs, multiplexed ELISAs using coded

microspheres coupled with a flow cytometer detection systems, or combinations thereof.

44. (Withdrawn/Previously Presented) The method according to claim 43, wherein the

means for measuring the levels of said at least one pro-inflammatory cytokine in said biological sample comprises multiplexed ELISAs using coded microspheres coupled with

a flow cytometer detection systems.

45. (Withdrawn) A kit for screening compositions for efficacy in the treatment of

inflammatory diseases of the bowel comprising a first measuring element or system for

measuring at least one anti-inflammatory cytokine in a biological sample comprising a

gut-derived cell type, a second measuring element or system for measuring at least one pro-inflammatory cytokine in a biological sample comprising a gut-derived cell type,

wherein the difference in ratio of anti-inflammatory to pro-inflammatory cytokine

Page 9 of 25

Amdt. Dated 20 March 2009

Reply to Office Action mailed 19 December 2008

Customer No. 27752

between a control sample and a treated sample that has been stimulated with a composition can be determined, and usage instructions directing the user to determine the ratio of an anti-inflammatory cytokine to a pro-inflammatory cytokine, and that a ratio of anti-inflammatory cytokine to said pro-inflammatory cytokine greater than the control is indicative of an inhibitor of inflammatory diseases of the bowel.